

SANDERS LAW GROUP
Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, NY 11530
Tel: (516) 203-7600
Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group
File No.: 124162

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

Jackson Lee,

Plaintiff,

V.

IHeartMedia Inc.,

Defendant.

Case No: 5:22-cv-0084

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Jackson Lee (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against Defendant IHeartMedia Inc. (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement.
 2. Plaintiff herein creates photographic images and owns the rights to these images which Plaintiff licenses for various uses including online and print publications.
 3. Defendant owns and operates a website known as johnjayandrich.iheart.com (the “Website”).
 4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff actively copied, stored, and/or displayed Plaintiff’s Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Jackson Lee is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York and maintains a principal place of business at 515 West 52nd Street, Manhattan in New York City, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant iHeartMedia, Inc., is a Texas Corporation with a principal place of business at 208000 Stone Oak Parkway, San Antonio in Bexar County, Texas and is liable and responsible to Plaintiff based on the facts herein alleged.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over iHeartMedia, Inc. because it maintains its principal place of business in Texas.

9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because iHeartMedia, Inc. does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owners of photographs which Plaintiff licenses to online and print publications.

11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.

12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the “USCO”) which cover many of Plaintiff’s photographs while many others

1 are the subject of pending copyright applications.

2 13. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns
3 protectable copyright interests.

4 14. iHeartMedia, Inc. is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for its
5 content.

7 15. iHeartMedia, Inc. is the operator of the Website and is responsible for its content.

8 16. The Website is a popular and lucrative commercial enterprise.

9 17. The Website is monetized in that it contains paid advertisements and, upon
10 information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

11 18. The Website is monetized in that sells subscription services to the public and, upon
12 information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

13 19. On May 15, 2019, Plaintiff authored a photograph of comedian Pete Davidson and
14 actress Kate Beckinsale leaving an airport terminal with a police officer escort (the "*Photograph*").
15 A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

17 20. Plaintiff applied to the USCO to register the Photograph on or about May 15, 2019
18 under Application No. 1-7698770171.

19 21. The Photograph was registered by the USCO on May 15, 2019 under Registration
20 No. VA 2-152-123.

22 22. On July 11, 2019, Plaintiff observed the Photograph on the Website in a story dated
23 March 6, 2021. A copy of the screengrab of the Website including the Photograph is attached
24 hereto as Exhibit 2.

25 23. The Photograph was displayed at URL:
26 <https://johnjayandrich.iheart.com/featured/the-good-the-bad-and-the-gossip/content/2019-03-06->
27

28

[kate-beckinsales-23-year-old-ex-warns-pete-davidson-to-run/](#)

24. The Photograph was stored at URL:
[https://i.iheart.com/v3/re/new_assets/5c7fb8d396638a75e1a52680?ops=max\(650,0\),quality\(80\)](https://i.iheart.com/v3/re/new_assets/5c7fb8d396638a75e1a52680?ops=max(650,0),quality(80)).

25. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally selected, copied, stored and/or displayed Plaintiff's copyright protected Photograph as is set forth in Exhibit "1" on the Website.

26. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied, stored and displayed without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights (hereinafter the "*Infringement*").

27. The Infringement includes a URL (“*Uniform Resource Locator*”) for a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement. *17 U.S.C. §106(5); Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, 508 F.3d 1146, 1160 (9th Cir. 2007).

28. The Infringement is an exact copy of the entirety of Plaintiff's original image that was directly copied and stored by Defendant on the Website.

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting, commenting on and/or displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff's Photograph.

30. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.

31. Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware of facts or circumstances from which the determination regarding the Infringement was apparent. Defendant cannot claim that it

1 was not aware of the infringing activities, including the specific Infringement which forms the
2 basis of this complaint, since such a claim would amount to only willful blindness to the
3 Infringement on the part of Defendant.

4 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly
5 and in violation of applicable United States Copyright Laws.
6

7 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control
8 and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to
9 exercise such right.

10 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

11 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly
12 attributable to the Infringement.
13

14 36. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website and,
15 in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its advertising and/or service revenues.
16

17 37. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful
copies of the Photograph on the Website.
18

19 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the
reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.
20

21 39. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential
market for the Photograph.
22

23 40. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.
24

FIRST COUNT
(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)

25 41. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the
preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.
26

1 42. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns valid
2 copyright properly registered with the United States Copyright Office.

3 43. Plaintiff has not licensed Defendant the right to use the Photograph in any manner,
4 nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyrights to Defendant.

5 44. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of
6 Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, stored,
7 reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby
8 violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

9 45. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph
10 constitutes willful copyright infringement. *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).

11 46. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant willfully
12 infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that
13 they used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for
14 commercial benefit, the original and unique Photograph of the Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent
15 or authority, by using it in the infringing article on the Website.

16 47. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled
17 to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the
18 infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at
19 Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant in an amount up to
20 \$150,000.00 for the infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

21 48. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in
22 its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs
23

pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

49. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

JURY DEMAND

50. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed upon Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright interest in the Photograph by copying and displaying without a license or consent;
 - b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant in an amount up to \$150,000.00 for the infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
 - c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
 - d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
 - e. for pre judgment interest as permitted by law; and
 - f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

1 DATED: February 1, 2022

2 **SANDERS LAW GROUP**

3 By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders
4 Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
5 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
6 Garden City, NY 11530
7 Tel: (516) 203-7600
8 Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group
File No.: 124162

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attorneys for Plaintiff

